New ages for Middle and Later Stone Age deposits at Mumba rockshelter, Tanzania: Optically stimulated luminescence dating of quartz and feldspar grains
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Abstract
The archaeological deposits at Mumba rockshelter, northern Tanzania, have been excavated for more than 70 years, starting with Margit and Ludwig Köhl-Larsen in the 1930s. The assemblages of Middle Stone Age (MSA) and Later Stone Age (LSA) artefacts collected from this site constitute the type sequences for these cultural phases in East Africa. Despite its archaeological importance, however, the chronology of the site is poorly constrained, despite the application since the 1980s of several dating methods (radiocarbon, uranium-series and amino acid racemisation) to a variety of materials recovered from the deposits. Here, we review these previous chronologies for Mumba and report new ages obtained from optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) and infrared stimulated luminescence (IRSL) measurements on single grains of quartz and multi-grain aliquots of potassium (K) feldspar from the MSA and LSA deposits. Measurements of single grains of quartz allowed the rejection of unrepresentative grains and the application of appropriate statistical models to obtain the most reliable age estimates, while measurements of K-feldspars allowed the chronology to be extended to older deposits. The seven quartz ages and four K-feldspar ages provide improved temporal constraints on the archaeological sequence at Mumba. The deposits associated with the latest Kisele Industry (Bed VI-A) and the earliest Mumba Industry (Bed V) are dated to 63.4 ± 5.7 and 56.9 ± 4.8 ka (thousands of years ago), respectively, thus constraining the time of transition between these two archaeological phases to ~60 ka. An age of 49.1 ± 4.3 ka has been obtained for the latest deposits associated with the Mumba Industry, which show no evidence for post-depositional mixing and contain ostrich eggshell (OES) beads and abundant microlithics. The Nasera Industry deposits (Bed III) contain large quantities of OES beads and date to 36.8 ± 3.4 ka. We compare the luminescence ages with the previous chronologies for Mumba, and briefly discuss how the revised chronology fits in the context of existing archaeological records and palaeoclimatic reconstructions for East Africa.

Introduction

Mumba rockshelter contains one of the richest and most continuous Middle Stone Age (MSA) to Iron Age archaeological sequences in East Africa. Its MSA and Later Stone Age (LSA) assemblages, in particular, have become the type sequences for these cultural phases in East Africa. The best known and most notable feature is the presence of geometric microlithic stone artefacts and ostrich eggshell (OES) beads found throughout a large portion of the sequence. Microlithic technologies and the manufacture of personal ornaments play a central role in deliberations about the origins of modern human behaviour, the dispersals of modern humans within and out of Africa, and their responses to factors such as climate change (e.g., Ambrose, 1998, 2002; Wurz, 1999; McBrearty and Brooks, 2000; Mellars, 2006; McCall, 2007; Clarkson et al., 2009; Jacobs and Roberts, 2009; Petraglia et al., 2009). The abundant occurrence of microlithics and personal ornaments in the archaeological record is often used to differentiate between the LSA and MSA in Africa (Ambrose, 1998, 2002). Although they are the hallmark of the LSA, it is well known that they also occur in some MSA contexts, such as the Howieson’s Poort in southern Africa, thereby obscuring the clear distinction between...
the MSA and the LSA, and the timing of the transition between them.

This ambiguity applies also to Mumba, where the ‘Mumba Industry’ in Bed V was defined by Mehlman (1989) as a ‘transitional’ industry between the MSA and LSA, based on the co-occurrence of LSA-like geometric microliths and knives, OES beads, and MSA-like stone points. The transitional nature of the assemblage, the presence of microlithic tools, and their reduction in size and increased abundance in the younger deposits at the site have been interpreted by some researchers as supporting the gradual emergence of modern human behaviour within the African MSA (e.g., McBrearty and Brooks, 2000; Henshilwood and Marean, 2003; Mellars, 2006). On the basis of amino acid racemisation (AAR) ages of 45–65 thousands of years ago (ka) for ostrich eggshell from Mumba, McBrearty and Brooks (2000) suggested that the rockshelter contains the earliest evidence for geometric microlithic tools in East Africa. These tools, together with the occurrence of OES beads, portable art (a cross-hatched OES fragment) and ochre (stones with red colour traces and ochre fragments) in Bed V and lower Bed III, were taken by McBrearty and Brooks (2000) as indicators of complex human behaviour, supporting their model for the gradual development of modern human behaviour from the earlier MSA. Deacon and Deaton (1999) noted the similarity between the Howieson Poort (65–59 ka) in southern Africa and the backed artefacts from Mumba, and linked this to the distribution of ‘click’ language speakers in these two regions to infer the long-distance dispersal and exchange of ideas. Mellars (2006) proposed that the earliest microlithic toolmakers in Africa may have been among the first populations that spread to other parts of the world, including South Asia. As evidence, he drew comparisons between the microlithic tools in southern and East Africa with those in India and Sri Lanka. Others have used the same assemblages to argue for the independent development of microlithic technology in South Asia about 35 ka, in response to increasing population size and deteriorating environmental conditions (e.g., Clarkson et al., 2009; Petraglia et al., 2009).

Until recently, such comparisons have been based on the original assemblage for Mumba rockshelter, collected by Margit and Ludwig Köhl-Larsen in the 1930s, which is thought to be heavily biased against smaller artefacts and to favour, instead, larger cores and flakes made from exotic materials such as obsidian and chert (Mehlman, 1989). The collection of artefacts excavated subsequently by Mehlman is incomplete and mostly unstudied (Mehlman, 1989; Prendergast et al., 2007; Diez-Martín et al., 2009). In addition, the Köhl-Larsen and Mehlman excavations were dug in spit depths of 20–40 cm and 10 cm, respectively, and assumed that the deposit was formed by the accumulation of horizontal beds (Prendergast et al., 2007). Mehlman also suggested that the Mumba deposits and the associated artefacts had been mixed after burial, so that the archaeological levels cannot be clearly distinguished (Mehlman, 1989; Prendergast et al., 2007). Furthermore, the ages reported thus far for Mumba have been obtained using sample preparation and measurement procedures that are no longer considered reliable or that were applied to unsuitable materials (e.g., uranium-series dating of bone), and ages have also been published without any associated uncertainties or supporting information.

Given these archaeological and chronological shortcomings, new excavations were undertaken at Mumba rockshelter in 2005 to facilitate a complete reassessment of site formation and stratigraphy, and to obtain an unbiased artefact assemblage for typological and technological characterisation. Importantly, Prendergast et al. (2007) showed that the entire deposit had not accumulated as a series of horizontal beds. Instead, they observed significant lateral and vertical changes within each level and were able to discriminate between different geological units on the basis of their separation by thin layers of culturally sterile sediments and distinct layers of rock fall. Their observations cast doubt on the presumption of contemporaneity of artefacts collected from relatively thick and horizontal spits by the previous excavators. In addition, the supposed ‘transitional’ nature of the assemblage was not supported by Diez-Martín et al. (2009), who performed a systematic analysis of the technology and typology of the unbiased artefact assemblage newly excavated from levels equivalent to Bed V of Mehlman and the Köhl-Larsens. They found no evidence for technological change or for MSA attributes. Instead, their entire ‘Bed V’ assemblage was consistent with LSA technology, containing a large proportion of tools produced using the bipolar technique. From their typological analysis, however, they observed a change in the relative abundance and size of geometric microliths between the lower and upper levels, but could not discount the possibility that this change was a function of the limited sample size.

In both southern and East Africa, it has become increasingly evident that defining the LSA and MSA, and the transition between them, is not straightforward. The technological and typological approaches that have customarily been used to differentiate between these periods do not yield unambiguous results. A possible means of dismounting this predicament is to compare and contrast assemblages based on their numerical ages. Resolving subtle differences in timing, however, can only be achieved if a common chronology is constructed for all assemblages at a given site. To this end, we collected sediment samples from Mumba rockshelter in 2007 for optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating. We used the new stratigraphic sequence of Prendergast et al. (2007) to securely tie the OSL sample locations to well-defined archaeological units from which unbiased artefact assemblages have been examined using current technological and traditional typological approaches (Diez-Martín et al., 2009). By dating the Mumba samples with the same instruments and procedures as employed at several other African sites, we can align the Mumba sequence on the same timeline as archaeological sequences in southern Africa (Jacobs et al., 2008a) and search for common patterns that might link these two regions.

The aim of this paper, therefore, is four-fold:

- To provide numerical age estimates for the Mumba microliths and for the most recent pre-microlithic (MSA) levels in the sequence (Diez-Martín et al., 2009).
- To directly test the claims for stratigraphic integrity of the most recently excavated deposits at Mumba (Prendergast et al., 2007).
- To assess the temporal (dis)continuity of the revised archaeological and sedimentological sequences proposed by Diez-Martín et al. (2009) and Prendergast et al. (2007), respectively.
- To assess possible reasons for changes in technology at Mumba by comparing their timing with existing archaeological and palaeoclimatic records for East Africa.

**Site setting, stratigraphy and archaeological context**

Mumba rockshelter (3°17’47″E, 3°32’26″S) is located ~1050 m above mean sea level on the southeastern side of Lake Eyasi in northern Tanzania, ~62 km south of Ol duvai Gorge (Fig. 1). The Lake Eyasi basin is situated near the southwestern terminus of the Crater Highlands volcanic area, but volcanic debris is found only in the northernmost portion of the lake and does not reach the rockshelter. The basin is of Pleistocene age and is now filled mostly with sediment. When dry, the lakebed is subject to severe aeolian deflation by strong northerly winds, but the lake level has
also been high enough at times to submerge Mumba rockshelter (Prendergast et al., 2007). Today, the modern lake is approximately 2–4 km from the site, depending on the lake level, which continues to fluctuate significantly. The rockshelter is located at the base of a massive outcrop of Precambrian diorite and gneiss. This block of metamorphic rock has a slight overhang that is barely noticeable, so the site is not a rockshelter that affords much protection from the elements.

Mumba was first excavated by the Köhl-Larsens, who removed most of the deposit (9 × 12.5 × 10.75 m) between 1934 and 1938 (Fig. 2c). The site was later revisited by Mehlman, who excavated a further four ‘witness’ sections in 1979 and 1981 to refine the stratigraphy of the site and to obtain samples for purposes of dating (Mehlman, 1989). Margit Köhl-Larsen identified six geological beds in more than 10 m depth of deposit, and named them Beds I to VI (Köhl-Larsen, 1943). Mehlman retained this nomenclature when he analysed the Köhl-Larsen lithic assemblage and defined the archaeological sequence for the site (Mehlman, 1989). In 2005, the site was re-excavated by a team led by Domínguez-Rodrigo and Mabulla. They opened up four trenches along the perimeter of the area excavated by the Köhl-Larsens, and named them Trenches 5 to 8 (Fig. 2c and d).

To reassess the overall stratigraphy and history of formation of the site, Prendergast et al. (2007) examined cleaned exposures of the original Köhl-Larsen and Mehlman excavations, in addition to section profiles of the four new trenches. They subdivided the deposit into a sequence of eight geological units, and named them Units A (at the top) to H (at the base). The units are differentiated primarily by the relative abundance of stone blocks and slabs, alternating between units composed of fine-grained aeolian sediments (silts and sands) and units containing rocks (>10 cm) embedded in a silt-sand matrix. A number of archaeologically sterile units, each >10 cm thick, were also identified by Prendergast et al. (2007), who give detailed descriptions of each of the new geological units and a history of research at Mumba. The silts and sands were likely derived from deflation of the exposed lakebed during drier periods, whereas the cobbles were transported by gravity from a fracture on the eastern side of the

Figure 1. a. Photograph of Mumba rockshelter. The excavated deposits lie immediately behind the two people in the centre foreground. b. Map of Tanzania showing the locations of Mumba rockshelter, Lake Eyasi and other lakes in the region.

Figure 2. Photographs of the deposits in a. Trench 5 and b. Trench 7, with the approximate locations of OSL samples MR2–10 shown as red circles. c. Planview of the rockshelter, with Trenches 5 and 7 marked as red boxes. d. Stratigraphic drawing of the cleaned exposures, with the geological units of Prendergast et al. (2007) labelled A–H and the approximate positions of fifteen OSL samples shown as red circles. In a, b and d, the red circles are overlain by the OSL sample codes. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
rockshelter and as rock fall. The different units are easiest to
distinguish in the east and north of the site and less conspicuous
further west, where the rocks are fewer and smaller (Fig. 2d). As
a result of this lateral variation, the contact between units is rarely
horizontal and each unit is of variable thickness across the exposure
(Prendergast et al., 2007). Fig. 3 is a schematic section showing the
relation between the eight geological units of Prendergast et al.
(2007) and the six geological beds of Mehlman (1989), together
with the lithic industries as defined by Mehlman (1989).

Mehlman (1989) based his archaeological sequence on a typo-
logical assessment of the biased Köhl-Larsens assemblage, and his
terminology for Mumba is still widely used. Diez-Martín et al.
(2009) revised only the Bed V assemblage, so the general validity of
Mehlman’s scheme remains to be tested using the unbiased artefact
assemblage collected from the 2005 excavations. We recognise, therefore, that the following summary of the archaeo-
logical sequence proposed by Mehlman (1989) may be subject to
revision.

The basal deposits of the excavated sequence (Unit H, Bed VI-B)
contained stone artefacts assigned to the Sanzako Industry. This
industry is characterised by high frequencies of side- and notched-
scrapers, bifacially modified pieces and heavy-duty tools, such as
small bifaces and choppers. Levallois technology and points are rare
(Mehlman, 1989; Mabulla, 2007). The base of the overlying unit
(Unit G) corresponds to Bed VI-A and is associated with the Kisele
Industry, which is characterised by high frequencies of disc and
part-peripheral cores. Bifacial and unifacial points and scrapers are
the most distinctive tool types (Mehlman, 1989). The 2005
assemblage also contained large-sized artefacts, such as Levallois
cores and large scrapers, but lacked any microlithics (Diez-Martín
et al., 2009).

Overlying these deposits is Mehlman’s Bed V, which is equiva-
lent to the upper part of Unit G, all of Units F and E, and the lower
part of Unit D in the geological scheme of Prendergast et al.
industry, which he termed the Mumba Industry, with components
that can be described as MSA (e.g., retouched points) and LSA
(e.g., geometric microlithics and knives). By contrast, the unbiased
Bed V assemblage collected from Trench 7 in 2005 did not contain
any retouched points or knives (Diez-Martín et al., 2009). This
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**Figure 3.** Schematic cross-section indicating the relation between the geological units of Prendergast et al. (2007), the beds of Mehlman (1989) and the associated lithic industries of Mehlman (1989) and Diez-Martín et al. (2009). The Mumba Industry was assigned to the MSA/LSA transition by Mehlman and to the LSA by Diez-Martín et al. (2009).
Previous chronologies

One of Mehlman’s (1989) primary aims for excavating Mumba was to obtain samples for dating, and to construct a chronology for the archaeological sequence. Several of these samples were dated during the 1980s using a range of techniques, and the ages were presented in Mehlman (1989). Since then, these age estimates, along with some additional ages obtained subsequently by others, have been selectively used in the literature to support individual arguments, despite clear problems with the data set when considered in its entirety. All known age estimates for Beds III to VI at Mumba are listed in Table 1. We have omitted the two 14C ages of 4860 ± 100 and 4890 ± 70 BP (Before Present) for the human burial in Bed III, as the remains may have been interred long after the time of formation of Bed III. Three features of this data set are of note.

First, the ages reported are stratigraphically inconsistent. For example, Mehlman (1989) reported four 230Th/234U ages for bone apatite from Bed V: one from the top, two from the middle, and one from the lower section. The two samples from the middle section gave ages that are significantly different from each other, and both are much older than the age obtained for the underlying sample (Table 1). Likewise, the 14C ages for bone apatite and shells of the land snail Achatina from Bed V are inverted with respect to their stratigraphic positions, and samples from the same context do not have consistent ages (Table 1).

Second, most of the ages in Table 1 were obtained from samples composed of materials that are now considered less than ideal for dating and/or using sample preparation and measurement procedures that are no longer deemed reliable. These shortcomings are almost certainly responsible, in part or in whole, for the apparent age inversions and inconsistencies noted above. Uranium-series dating of bone, for example, is complicated by the variable timing and rate of uptake (and any later loss) of uranium after burial, due to the ‘open system’ behaviour of bone (Grün, 2006; Grün et al., 2010). At its simplest, a minimum 230Th/234U age can be determined by assuming that all of the uranium was absorbed by the bone soon after burial and none was lost subsequently. If U-uptake had occurred later, then the bone would be older than calculated. Some constraints can be placed on the history of uranium migration by taking advantage of the different radioactive half-lives of 230Th in the 238U series and 231Pa in the 235U series (e.g., Cheng et al., 1998). If the 230Th/234U and 231Pa/235U ages for the same sample agree within error, then it could be argued that the bone has acted as a ‘closed system’ after absorbing uranium. Both ages may be too young, however, if U-uptake was delayed, or too old if recent U-loss has occurred, as the daughter/parent ratios will both change in the same direction. For two of the samples from Bed V and for one sample from Bed VI-B, Mehlman (1989) reported paired 230Th/234U and 231Pa/235U ages. In each case, consistent ages were obtained from the two methods, with the 231Pa/235U ages being less precise due to the low natural abundance of 235U. The accuracy of these ages requires verification using modern U-series methods of dating bone (Grün, 2006; Grün et al., 2010). This involves measuring uranium and thorium concentrations across sectioned

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bed</th>
<th>Laboratory code</th>
<th>Uranium-series dating</th>
<th>Radiocarbon dating</th>
<th>Amino acid racemisation dating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>230Th/234U (ka)</td>
<td>231Pa/235U (ka)</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-upper</td>
<td>OS-61329</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA99911</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISGS-565</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISGS-566</td>
<td>27.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>ISGS-499</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USGS-1505</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-upper</td>
<td>USGS-83-10</td>
<td>23.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>23.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>33.5 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA3299*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI-B</td>
<td>USGS-82-19</td>
<td>131.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>109.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>19.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The uncalibrated radiocarbon ages are listed for all samples, except the youngest pair in Bed III-upper, which were published as calibrated ages by Prendergast et al. (2007), who used the IntCal04 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2004). For the other samples, we used the updated IntCal09 curve (Reimer et al., 2009) and the calibrated age ranges (at 2σ) are shown in bold. The exact provenance in Bed V of the three samples marked with an asterisk is not known.
pieces of bone to obtain profiles that can be compared with those generated by models of uranium diffusion and adsorption (e.g., Pike et al., 2002). Reliable ages can be determined only for bones with certain profiles, and this may occur in a minority of cases.

The situation is no better as regards the 14C chronology for Mumba. Mehlan (1989) obtained 14C ages from six different materials: charcoal, snail shell, ostrich eggshell, bone collagen, bone apatite and tufa. For each type of sample material, the 14C related to the target event must first be separated from any older or younger 14C using appropriate pretreatment procedures. This is likely to be problematic for many of the materials used to obtain 14C ages for Beds IV, V and VI. For example, bone apatite (the mineral component of the bone) and shells often give erroneous ages due to carbon exchange with the carbonate in the apatite and shell structure during diagenesis (Taylor, 1987; Stafford et al., 1991; Hedges and van Klinken, 1992; Surovell, 2000; Bronk Ramsey, 2008). The environmental conditions at Mumba are conducive to carbon exchange in such materials, given the evidence for post-depositional formation of secondary carbonates in the form of carbonate nodules throughout the deposit and carbonate concretions around the bones, shells and stones (Prendergast et al., 2007). Thicker shells are generally preferred for 14C dating, so that the extent of bone tissue and the altered aragonite crystals isolated for analysis. However, Achatina shells are fragile and thin-walled, so it is unlikely that this precaution was taken. Sample preparation procedures for bone, eggshell and small land-snail shells have improved greatly in the last decade (Bird et al., 2005; Bronk Ramsey, 2008) and have been shown to increase the accuracy of the resulting 14C ages, especially after molecular ultrafiltration of bone collagen (Bronk Ramsey et al., 2004; Higham et al., 2006). None of the 14C ages at Mumba were obtained using these procedures, however, so they should conservatively be regarded as potentially unreliable.

The third feature of the existing chronology for Mumba is the recent proliferation in the literature of ages published without any associated estimates of uncertainty or supporting information to justify their reliability. This includes accelerator mass spectrometry 14C ages of between ~29 and 33 ka (Conard, 2005) and AAR ages of about 52 ka and 45–65 ka (McBrearty and Brooks, 2000) for ostrich eggshell. Furthermore, 14C ages are commonly used to calibrate the burial age of grains that were well-bleached at the time of deposition. By dividing the D_e by the estimated dose rate for the entire period of burial. OSL ages are obtained in calendar years, so they are directly comparable to 230Th/234U and 231Pa/235U ages and can be calibrated 14C ages.

Sample collection

Quartz and feldspar grains from volcanic provinces are known to pose a variety of problems for luminescence dating (Fattahi and Stokes, 2003; Tsukamoto et al., 2003; Westaway and Roberts, 2006). In the East African Rift Valley, some samples of quartz have proven challenging for dating because of their undesirable OSL properties (e.g., Choi et al., 2006), whereas other samples of quartz, and mineral mixtures dominated by the luminescence emissions from feldspars, have been used to investigate the soil erosion history and Pastoral Neolithic settlement of Tanzania and Kenya (Eriksson et al., 2000; Wright et al., 2007). The Mumba deposits are predominantly derived from metamorphic and not volcanic sources, so in June 2007 we collected 16 sediment samples to assess the suitability of the quartz and potassium (K) feldspar grains for OSL dating. The approximate positions of 15 of these samples are shown as filled circles in Fig. 2d. One sample was also collected from the Köhl-Larsen spoil heap to the west of the rockshelter.

Two of the OSL samples were collected from the northern section wall, but the majority of samples were collected from Trench 5 (n = 5) and Trench 7 (n = 8), which were excavated originally in 2005. Both trenches were 2 × 2 m in plan area with maximum depths of 2.63 m and 0.92 m, respectively. Trench 5 spans Units A–C of Prendergast et al. (2007), equivalent to Beds I–III of Mehlan (1989) and ending at the boundary of Beds III and IV. Trench 7 includes the base of Unit C and all of Units D–G, which correspond to Mehlan’s (1989) Beds IV, V and VI–A. In this paper, we present and discuss results for eight samples collected from Units C–G, which cover the time interval of interest; the other eight samples remain unopened. Photographs of the locations of the eight dated samples are shown in Fig. 2a and b and are plotted in

Figure 4. Natural OSL decay curve and sensitivity-corrected dose—response curve (inset) for a single grain of quartz from Mumba rockshelter. The sensitivity-corrected natural signal is projected onto the dose—response curve to estimate the equivalent dose (D_e) by interpolation. The OSL characteristics of this grain are typical of those exhibited by grains accepted for age determination.
stratigraphic context in the section drawing below (Fig. 2d). Table 2 lists the geological units of Prendergast et al. (2007) from which these samples were collected, and the equivalent stratigraphic and archaeological contexts of Mehlman (1989).

All samples were collected at night using only dim, red-filtered torchlight for illumination. Due to the presence of large rocks in the deposit, it was not practicable to obtain samples by hammering plastic tubes into the cleaned section faces. Instead, we made narrow slots and small-diameter holes in the deposit using trowels and an auger, and collected the excavated sediment in plastic bags that were immediately wrapped in black plastic for protection from light and for transport to the laboratory. The resulting slots and holes were large enough to permit in situ gamma spectrometry measurements at each sample location. Also, separate bags of sediment were collected for laboratory measurements of environmental radioactivity and sample moisture content.

Preparation and measurement procedures

Samples were prepared using standard methods (Aitken, 1985; Wintle, 1997). Carbonates were dissolved using 10% and then 32% hydrochloric (HCl) acid, and organic matter was oxidised in 10% and then 50% hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) solution. The residue was then dry sieved to separate the 180–212 μm diameter grain-size fraction. Quartz grains were isolated using sodium polystyrene solutions with specific gravities of 2.70 to remove heavy minerals and 2.62 to remove feldspars. The latter were separated into solutions with specific gravities of 2.58. The quartz grains were etched in 40% hydrofluoric (HF) acid for 45 min to dissolve the external rind irradiated by alpha particles (~9 μm thick) and to destroy any remaining feldspars. The separated K-feldspar grains were etched in 10% HF acid for 10 min to remove the alpha-irradiated rinds. The etched quartz and K-feldspar grains were then washed with 32% HCl acid for 45 min to remove any precipitated fluorides, and finally re-sieved to obtain the 180–212 μm grain-size fraction for dating.

All luminescence measurements were made using two automated Risø TL/OSL readers, one of which was fitted with a laser attachment for single grain dating (Bøtter-Jensen et al., 2003). Laboratory irradiations were given using calibrated 90Sr/90Y beta sources, mounted on both readers. A focused green (532 nm) laser beam was used to stimulate individual quartz grains for 2 s at 125 °C and the ultraviolet OSL emissions were detected by an Electron Tubes Ltd. 9235QA photomultiplier tube fitted with two 3 mm-thick Hoya U-340 filters. The same photomultiplier tube was fitted with Schott BG39 and Corning 7–59 filters to detect the blue emissions from multi-grain aliquots of K-feldspar when stimulated by infrared (875 nm) LEDs for 100 s at 50 °C and then at 225 °C, with the latter infrared stimulated luminescence (IRSL) signal used for dating.

For both quartz and K-feldspar grains, the De was estimated using a single-aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) procedure to construct a dose–response curve and determine the De by interpolation of the natural signal (Galbraith et al., 1999; Murray and Wintle, 2000). In this procedure, after the natural and regenerative dose OSL and IRSL signals have been measured for each grain of quartz or aliquot of K-feldspar, a small ‘test’ dose of fixed size is given and the induced OSL and IRSL signals are measured. The test dose signals are used to monitor and correct for any sensitivity changes that commonly occur in mineral grains due to them being repeatedly dosed, heated and illuminated as part of the SAR procedure. The natural and regenerative dose signals are divided by the corresponding test dose signals to produce a set of ‘sensitivity corrected’ values from which the De is estimated. Before measuring the natural, regenerative and test dose signals, each grain or aliquot is heated to between 160 °C and 280 °C for a few seconds. The temperature and duration of these ‘preheats’ are optimised for each sample (or a set of related samples) by performing a series of routine tests. These include a dose recovery test on grains that are bleached in the sun and then given a known laboratory dose, to verify that the correct (given) dose can be obtained using the chosen measurement conditions (Galbraith et al., 1999). For the quartz samples from Mumba, a high-temperature optical stimulation was included at the end of the natural and each regenerative dose cycle to reduce the progressive build-up of electronic charge in the less light-sensitive traps (Murray and Wintle, 2003; Jacobs et al., 2006c). For the K-feldspar samples, we used the elevated temperature (225 °C) IRSL signal (Thomsen et al., 2008) for dating, following the post-IR IRSL procedure of Buylaert et al. (2009). Full details of these procedures and the specific experimental conditions used to measure the quartz and K-feldspar grains are described in Gliganic et al. (2012), together with their luminescence characteristics and the data analysis methods used to estimate their De values.

Equivalent dose (De) distributions

We measured the De values for both quartz and K-feldspar to exploit the advantages of each mineral and to help overcome their specific limitations. One of the most important advantages of single grain measurements of quartz is the ability to identify and eliminate individual grains that exhibit aberrant luminescence characteristics, as these can lead to erroneous De estimates when grains are combined on a multi-grain aliquot (Jacobs and Roberts, 2007). Between 600 and 1700 individual grains of quartz were measured for each of the Mumba samples (Table 3). Measurement and characterisation of the signal for each grain revealed large variability in the OSL response of grains from the same sample (Gliganic et al., 2012). For each sample, grains with aberrant luminescence characteristics were identified and then discarded from the data set, using a set of standard and tested criteria (e.g., Jacobs et al., 2006a). Of particular concern for the Mumba samples were the problems associated with the early onset of dose saturation in many of the quartz grains, which could place a major restriction on the reliable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Excavation trench</th>
<th>Depth below surface (cm)</th>
<th>Geological unit</th>
<th>Stratigraphic context</th>
<th>Archaeological context</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>C-upper</td>
<td>III upper</td>
<td>LSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>C-upper</td>
<td>III middle</td>
<td>LSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>C-lower</td>
<td>II lower</td>
<td>Nasera Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>E-upper</td>
<td>V upper</td>
<td>Mumba Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>E-middle</td>
<td>V middle</td>
<td>Mumba Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>E-lower</td>
<td>V lower</td>
<td>Mumba Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>G-upper</td>
<td>VI-A upper</td>
<td>Kisele Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>G-lower</td>
<td>VI-A middle</td>
<td>Kisele Industry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The collection location, burial depth, geological unit (Prendergast et al., 2007) and stratigraphic and archaeological contexts (Mehlman, 1989) are indicated for each sample.
determination of $D_e$. This problem was further exacerbated by the relatively high environmental dose rates that also increased with sample depth (Table 4). In a controlled laboratory experiment on samples with $D_e$ values $\geq 100$ Gy, we found that erroneous $D_e$ values were obtained from individual grains that had characteristic saturation doses ($D_0$ values) of $<25$ Gy (Gliganic et al., 2012). So, in addition to the standard rejection criteria, we also excluded all such grains. This restricted our data sets to grains that exhibited reliable quartz OSL characteristics (e.g., the rapid decay of signal with stimulation time, indicative of grains dominated by the ‘fast’ component of quartz OSL, and dose–response curves that could be fitted with a single saturating exponential function). Fig. 4 shows typical OSL decay and dose–response curves for a single grain included in the final data set. Gliganic et al. (2012) provide a full report on the OSL signal characteristics of individual quartz grains, the categories of grain behaviour, and the rejection criteria applied to the Mumba samples.

In addition to the removal of grains with aberrant luminescence behaviours, analysis of individual grains of quartz has further benefits in archaeological contexts where: 1) post-depositional disturbances (Feathers et al., 2006, 2010; Jacobs et al., 2006b, 2008b; David et al., 2007); 2) the effects of beta microdosimetry (e.g., Jacobs et al., 2008c), and 3) the possibility of roof spall contamination and other forms of non-homogeneous bleaching (Roberts et al., 1998, 1999; Jacobs et al., 2011) are of concern (Jacobs and Roberts, 2007). The archaeological sediments at Mumba rockshelter show potential for, at least, the first two major concerns. Between 20 (MR10) and 106 (MR3) grains (3.3–8.8% of the measured grains) were accepted for $D_e$ determination, with the resulting distributions having overdispersion values of between 29 ± 4 and 73 ± 6% (Table 3). Overdispersion refers to the spread remaining among $D_e$ estimates after taking the measurement errors into account. It is common for single grain $D_e$ distributions to be overdispersed by 10–20%, even if all grains had been fully bleached before burial and had subsequently remained undisturbed and exposed to the same dose rate (Jacobs and Roberts, 2007). But the distributions of accepted $D_e$ values are more dispersed still for the Mumba samples, and can be divided into three broad types, displayed as radial plots for three representative samples in Fig. 5. Radial plots for all the samples are provided as Figure S1 in SOM and in Gliganic et al. (2012).

The first type of $D_e$ distribution (Fig. 5a) was only found for MR2 (stratigraphically the highest sample) and is representative of a sediment sample that has been continuously exposed to light as a result of anthropogenic processes (such as digging) or natural processes (such as animal burrowing or bioturbation). Prendergast et al. (2007) reported a variety of features indicative of anthropogenic disturbance of the upper layers by digging. The radial plot for MR2 shows no discrete dose components and the $D_e$ values could not be combined in any meaningful way to obtain a single representative $D_e$ value for final age determination. Instead, we calculated the minimum and maximum $D_e$ values for this sample using the minimum age model of Galbraith et al. (1999) and the maximum age model of Olley et al. (2006), respectively, to obtain an age range. The stratigraphic integrity of artefacts found within this layer cannot be assured.

The second type of $D_e$ distribution was found for two of the samples, MR3 and MR9, which consisted of four and three discrete dose components, respectively, and are referred to here as ‘mixed’ $D_e$ distributions (Fig. 5b). The finite mixture model (FMM) of Roberts et al. (2000) was used to obtain the weighted mean $D_e$ value of each dose component and the proportion of grains in each of them, following the procedures described by David et al. (2007) and Jacobs et al. (2008b, 2011). For both samples, the dominant dose component, represented by the greatest proportion of the grains, was used in the final age calculation. The degree of mixing is relatively small, with only 7% and 16% of the grains being intrusive in MR3 and MR9, respectively. Large-scale movement of grains, and hence artefacts, is unlikely to result in such limited mixing, suggesting that all artefacts in these layers are likely to be in primary position.

The third type of $D_e$ distribution is representative of the remainder of the samples, and also MR3 and MR9 after removal of the grains identified as being intrusive. These $D_e$ distributions showed either a dominant single component with several smaller $D_e$ values (e.g., MR7; Fig. 5c) or two discrete dose components in approximately equal proportion (e.g., MR6). We refer to both types as ‘scattered’ $D_e$ distributions, using the nomenclature of Jacobs et al. (2008b). The FMM was applied to these samples in the same way as the ‘mixed’ $D_e$ distributions and the dose components containing the largest proportion of grains were used for final age determination. For all these samples, the presence of the additional low dose component was attributed to small-scale differences in the beta dose received by individual grains. Small carbonate nodules are abundant in geological units C, D and E (Fig. 3) and all artefacts, bones and shells are heavily concreted in carbonate (Prendergast et al., 2007). Carbonates are generally much less radioactive than the detrital grains they abut or surround, resulting

### Table 3

Summary table of results for individual grains of quartz and multi-grain aliquots of K-feldspar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>No. of grains or aliquots measured</th>
<th>No. of grains or aliquots accepted</th>
<th>$D_e$ overdispersion (%)</th>
<th>Age model</th>
<th>Final $D_e$ (Gy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR2</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>73 ± 6</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR3</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>52 ± 4</td>
<td>FMM</td>
<td>39.1 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR4</td>
<td>Feldspar</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>51 ± 7</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>51.4 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR6</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>35 ± 5</td>
<td>FMM</td>
<td>95.1 ± 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR7</td>
<td>Feldspar</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29 ± 4</td>
<td>FMM</td>
<td>153.3 ± 11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR8</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>19 ± 3</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>1509 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR9</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>40 ± 6</td>
<td>FMM</td>
<td>143.3 ± 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR10</td>
<td>Feldspar</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>47 ± 5</td>
<td>FMM</td>
<td>173.3 ± 11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR11</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>39 ± 6</td>
<td>FMM</td>
<td>216.9 ± 16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR12</td>
<td>Feldspar</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22 ± 3</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>1908 ± 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR13</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34 ± 9</td>
<td>FMM</td>
<td>1603 ± 34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR14</td>
<td>Feldspar</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>2581 ± 12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The numbers of grains or aliquots measured and accepted (after application of the rejection criteria described in Gliganic et al., 2012) are listed, along with the overdispersion estimates for the $D_e$ values of accepted grains or aliquots. Also shown are the statistical age models used to calculate the final $D_e$ values for age determination—central age model (CAM, also used to estimate $D_e$ overdispersion), finite mixture model (FMM), minimum age model (Min) and maximum age model (Max)—and the $D_e$ values so obtained.
in small-scale differences in the beta dose rate delivered to individual quartz grains (e.g., Jacobs et al., 2008c). An adjustment to the beta dose rate measured in the laboratory is thus required, as discussed in the next section.

Table 3 provides a summary of the number of grains measured and accepted for each sample, the overdosage values of the De distributions, and the age models and final De values used to calculate the burial ages. Further details are presented in Gliganic et al. (2012).

The most important advantage of K-feldspar over quartz as a dosimeter is its much higher dose saturation limit, which should make it practicable to measure much larger burial doses and, hence, date older samples. K-feldspars also have a much greater contribution to the dose rate from radioactive potassium (40K), rubidium (87Rb), uranium and thorium within the mineral grains. This internal component of the dose rate is not affected by the inhomogeneous distribution of radioactivity external to the grains, thus reducing the effect that small-scale variations in the beta dose rate may have on the spread in De values; a problem that was common to all of the quartz measurements. But our chief concern with the quartz data sets, especially for the older samples, was the proximity of the De values to the dose saturation limit, which may result in age underestimation. To test this possibility, 24 aliquots, each containing ~50 feldspar grains, were also measured for four of the eight samples (MR3, MR6, MR9 and MR10) using a post-IR IRSL measurement procedure (Thomsen et al., 2008; Bøtter-Jensen et al., 2009), described in detail by Gliganic et al. (2012). Because the feldspars were measured as multi-grain aliquots and not as individual grains, it is not appropriate to fit the FMM to the resulting De distribution (Arnold and Roberts, 2009). Instead, we calculated the weighted mean De value for each of the samples using the central age model (CAM) of Galbraith et al. (1999), but recognise that the CAM values for MR3 and MR9 may be distorted by the inclusion of De estimates for intrusive grains.

Overdispersion values of between 19 ± 3 and 23 ± 3% were calculated for the De distributions of samples MR6, MR9 and MR10, and 51 ± 7% for MR3. Single grain quartz De measurements of the latter sample showed evidence for some post-depositional mixing, which would account for the unexpectedly large spread in De values among the feldspar aliquots. The De and overdispersion values are listed in Table 3, and a representative De distribution (MR6) is plotted in Fig. 6. The radial plots for the remaining samples are provided as Figure S2 in SOM and in Gliganic et al. (2012). For feldspars, the measured De is commonly underestimated because of the ubiquitous and malign phenomenon of anomalous fading (Wintle, 1973). A correction for fading must be made to obtain an accurate estimate of burial age, and we used the correction method of Huntley and Lamothe (2001). The reliability of this correction was tested and confirmed by comparing the K-feldspar and quartz ages (see below).

Environmental dose rates

The total environmental dose rate to sand-sized etched grains of quartz and feldspar consists of contributions from beta, gamma and cosmic radiation external to the grains, plus an internal dose rate due to radioactive inclusions (Table 4). Both minerals receive a small internal alpha dose from U and Th inclusions, while K-feldspar grains also receive a significant internal beta dose from the radioactive decay of 40K and 87Rb. To estimate the dose rates for the Mumba samples, laboratory measurements of radioactivity and water content were made using separate bags of sediment collected from each of the OSL sample locations.

The external beta dose rates were determined by grinding a dried portion of each sample to a fine powder and measuring the total beta counts using a Rise GM-25-5 beta counter (Better-Jensen and Mejdahl, 1988). Allowance was made for beta-dose attenuation due to grain size and HF acid etching (Mejdahl, 1979). For the quartz samples, we also adjusted the beta dose rate to compensate for the inhomogeneous distribution of radionuclides adjacent to some of the grains. The adjustment was based on the relative proportion of grains assigned by the FMM to the main De component of each sample (except MR2), following Jacobs et al. (2008c, 2011). Table 4 lists the beta-adjusted total dose rates used to calculate the quartz ages, along with the unadjusted total dose rates for the bulk samples. This adjustment procedure is not applicable to the De values obtained from multi-grain aliquots of K-feldspar, for which burial ages were calculated using the bulk-sample total dose rates.

The gamma dose rates were measured by in situ gamma spectrometry at each sample location. The combined gamma dose rate from the 238U and 232Th decay chains and from 40K was determined using the ‘threshold’ technique (Mercier and Falguères, 2007), with the spectrometer calibrated using the doped concrete blocks at Oxford (Rhodes and Schwenninger, 2007). Such measurements take account of any spatial heterogeneity in the gamma radiation field surrounding each OSL sample.

A small, internal alpha dose rate of 0.03 ± 0.01 Gy/ka was assumed for the quartz grains of all samples, based on measurements made on South African quartz (Jacobs et al., 2006b). For the K-feldspars, an internal beta dose rate was calculated by assuming internal 40K and 87Rb concentrations of 12.5 ± 0.5% (Huntley and Baril, 1997) and 400 ± 100 μg/kg (Huntley and Hancock, 2001), respectively. These were converted to dose rates using the conversion factors of Adamiec and Aitken (1998), and corrected for the absorbed dose fraction. For K-feldspar grains of 180–212 μm diameter, this yields an effective internal beta dose rate of 0.80 ± 0.03 Gy/ka, to which we added 0.10 ± 0.03 Gy/ka for the effective internal alpha dose rate from U and Th inclusions.

The cosmic-ray dose rates were estimated from the equations provided by Prescott and Hutton (1994), taking into account the burial depth of each sample (averaged over the entire period of
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**Table 4**

Environmental dose rates and supporting data for quartz and K-feldspar separates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>External dose rate (GY/ka)</th>
<th>Internal dose rate (GY/ka)</th>
<th>Total dose rate (GY/ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>Cosmic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR2</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR3</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR4</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR6</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR7</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR8</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR9</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR10</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total dose rate represents the sum of the internal and external dose rates for each mineral (where the latter is the same for both), and the total uncertainty represents the sum (in quadrature) of the random and systematic errors. The K-feldspar ages were calculated using the bulk-sample total dose rates, whereas the quartz ages were calculated using the beta-adjusted total dose rates, which take into account the differences in beta dose rate received by individual quartz grains.
burial), the density of sediment overburden, and the altitude and geomagnetic latitude of Mumba rockshelter. We also took into consideration the $\cos^2 \Phi$-zenith angular distribution of cosmic rays to allow for the extent of rock shielding of the deposit (Smith et al., 1997).

The total dose rates and supporting information are summarised in Table 4. We assume that the measured radionuclide concentrations and dose rates have prevailed throughout the period of sample burial, but note that the methods used to measure the beta and gamma dose rates in this study are not likely to be compromised by U-series disequilibria (Olley et al., 1996, 1997). For all samples, a water content of 10 ± 2% (mass of water as a percentage of the mass of dry sample) was used in conjunction with dose rate correction factors (Aitken, 1985; Readhead, 1987) to calculate the external beta, gamma and cosmic-ray dose rates. For the latter, this correction was applied only to the cosmic rays penetrating the sediment overburden. This water content is moister than the measured (field) values of 3.3±8.2% to allow for past fluctuations in lake level and drying out of the section faces after excavation. The calculated burial ages increase by ~1% for each 1% increase in water content, as interstitial pore water absorbs radiation that would otherwise have reached the grains.

Quartz and K-feldspar ages

The final ages derived from the single grains of quartz and multi-grain aliquots of K-feldspar are presented in Table 5. Where a sample was measured using both minerals, the ages are statistically consistent within one standard error, except for MR10. This provides confidence in the measurement and analysis of their luminescence signals and environmental dose rates, and suggests that the fading correction applied to the feldspar aliquots, and the beta dose adjustment made for the quartz grains, are likely appropriate. However, the quartz ages are similar whether calculated from the CAM estimates of $D_e$ and bulk-sample dose rates or from the FMM estimates of $D_e$ and beta-adjusted dose rates (Gliganic et al., 2012), but the latter takes account of the presence of intrusive grains and secondary carbonates. The feldspar ages are similarly insensitive to the fading correction, owing to the selection of the post-IR IRSL signal for dating (Gliganic et al., 2012), but we consider the single grain quartz ages to be more accurate because intrusive grains could be identified and excluded prior to age calculation.

The sole exception is MR10, for which the feldspar age is preferred. For this sample, the natural OSL signals for the 20 individual quartz grains from which $D_e$ values could be obtained lay very close to the saturation intensity. While the small number of grains in the quartz data set may have resulted in the age underestimation, we think that these finite $D_e$ values may constitute only the leading edge of the $D_e$ distribution and, thus, represent a minimum $D_e$ value for MR10. This notion is further supported by the large associated uncertainty on the quartz age for this sample and the apparent age inversion relative to samples from stratigraphically younger deposits. It was for this reason that we decided to investigate and measure K-feldspar grains to extend the luminescence chronology to the older archaeological deposits at Mumba.

The single grain quartz ages for samples MR2-4 and MR6-9, and the K-feldspar age of sample MR10, are in correct stratigraphic order (Table 5). The deepest dated deposits (Bed VI-A), corresponding to the Kisele Industry, are 73.6 ± 3.8 ka in age (MR10). The fitted components are indicated by grey bands, which are centred on the weighted mean $D_e$ value for each component.
upper portion of Bed VI-A is dated to 63.4 ± 5.7 ka (MR9) and the lower part of Bed V, which contains the earliest evidence for the Mumba Industry, was deposited 56.9 ± 4.8 ka ago (MR8). Thus, the transition between the Kisele and Mumba Industries occurred at ∼60 ka. The upper section of Bed V, which contains the oldest OES beads and the highest proportion of microlithics associated with the Mumba Industry (Diez-Martín et al., 2009), is dated to 49.1 ± 4.3 ka (MR6). The OSL chronology suggests, therefore, that the Mumba Industry persisted for 7.8 ± 6.3 ka and may have ended as late as 40 ka ago. Ages of 36.8 ± 3.4 ka (MR4) and 15.6 ± 1.2 ka (MR3) were obtained for the lower and middle sections of Bed III, with the former associated with the Naseran Industry (Mehlman, 1989). We were unable to determine a single depositional age for upper Bed III, as the single grain $D_e$ distribution for this sample (MR2) is consistent with substantial and continuous sediment mixing (Fig. 5a). The minimum and maximum age estimates for MR2 are 0.84 ± 0.16 and 12.0 ± 1.7 ka. This age range indicates that these sediments have been extensively disturbed since initial deposition, with the oldest grains exposed to sunlight more recently than the vast majority of grains in MR3.

The internally consistent luminescence chronology developed in this study for Mumba rockshelter contrasts with the lack of stratigraphic coherence exhibited by previous chronologies for the site (Table 1). For example, previous age estimates for Bed V range from ∼23 to 66 ka and show no coherent relation of age with depth, whereas the OSL ages progressively increase with depth from 49.1 ± 4.3 to 56.9 ± 4.8 ka. We consider the OSL chronology to provide the most reliable timeframe for Mumba, even for the Pleistocene deposits younger than 40 ka, owing to the inadequacy of the sample preparation and measurement procedures used previously, as discussed above.

**Discussion**

Ideally, once a new chronology has been constructed for a site as important as Mumba, one would like to compare it with existing chronologies and to palaeoenvironmental records. Such comparisons may enable an assessment of the temporal and spatial distribution of changes in technology and behaviour, which can then be viewed in the wider context of changing climates that may shed light on why such changes may have occurred. Unfortunately, in East Africa, there is a paucity of well-dated archaeological deposits that contain comparable evidence (McBrearty and Brooks, 2000), and the Late Pleistocene climate dynamics of the region as a whole is complex and poorly understood.

Comparisons between chronologies of different sites in the region are largely futile, as most of the sites suffer from the same problems as those identified for the previous chronologies at Mumba (Table 1). That is, they have been dated more than a decade ago using sample pretreatment, measurement and analytical procedures that would now be considered inadequate, or sites have not been dated at all, or ages were obtained but supporting information to validate their reliability was not provided. The only worthwhile comparisons that can be made are with the earliest reported ages for microlithic technology in East Africa, associated with the Naisiusiu Beds in Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania, and for Enkapune Ya Muto in southern Kenya. The latter site is often claimed to contain the most convincing evidence of an early and sustained occurrence of microlithics during the Late Pleistocene (Ambrose, 2002).

Infinite $^{14}$C ages of ∼45 ka were reported for deposits associated with the Naisiusiu Beds (Manega, 1993) and electron spin resonance (ESR) ages of 59 ± 5 ka and 62 ± 5 ka were obtained for three bovid teeth assuming early or linear uptake models of uranium, respectively (Skinner et al., 2003). The teeth were not collected in association with any artefacts, but the ESR ages are, nevertheless, consistent with the OSL age of 56.9 ± 4.8 ka for the lower Bed V deposits at Mumba, in which microlithics first occur in relatively low abundance. At Enkapune Ya Muto, the first backed artefacts ($n = 3$) were found in the deepest archaeological layer, which contains the Endingi Industry. The overlying Nasampolai Industry is dominated by large backed blades and geometric microliths, several of which exhibit ochre staining on the modified edge, indicative of hafting (Ambrose, 1998, 2002). Overlying the Nasampolai is the Sakutiek Industry, which has low frequencies of
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**Figure 6.** Radial plot of the $D_e$ values obtained for 24 multi-grain aliquots of K-feldspar from sample MR6.

**Table 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Stratigraphic context</th>
<th>Archaeological context</th>
<th>Age (ka)</th>
<th>Quartz $D_e$ (fading-corrected)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR2</td>
<td>Bed III upper</td>
<td>LSA</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.16 (Min)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.7 (Max)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR3</td>
<td>Bed III middle</td>
<td>LSA</td>
<td>15.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR4</td>
<td>Bed III lower</td>
<td>Nasera Industry</td>
<td>36.8 ± 3.4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR6</td>
<td>Bed V upper</td>
<td>Mumba Industry</td>
<td>49.1 ± 4.3</td>
<td>47.6 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR7</td>
<td>Bed V middle</td>
<td>Mumba Industry</td>
<td>51.3 ± 4.2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR8</td>
<td>Bed V lower</td>
<td>Mumba Industry</td>
<td>56.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR9</td>
<td>Bed VI-A</td>
<td>Kisele Industry</td>
<td>63.4 ± 5.7</td>
<td>58.9 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR10</td>
<td>Bed VI-A</td>
<td>Kisele Industry</td>
<td>45.9 ± 10.3</td>
<td>73.6 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The age considered most reliable for each sample is shown in bold, with the uncertainties (including a systematic uncertainty of 2% to allow for possible bias associated with calibration of the laboratory beta source) expressed at 1σ. As explained in the text, only minimum and maximum burial age estimates are provided for MR2.
microliths, but abundant OES beads (n = 13), bead platforms (n = 12) and fragments (n = 593).

The chronology reported for Enkapune Ya Muto consists of obsidian hydration and uncalibrated \(^{14}C\) ages, the latter obtained from charcoal and OES. An uncalibrated \(^{14}C\) age on charcoal of 41.4 ± 0.7 ka BP was reported for the Endingi Industry. No \(^{14}C\) ages were obtained for the important Nasampolai Industry, but four ages on charcoal and OES of 29.3 ± 0.8, 35.8 ± 0.6, 37.0 ± 1.1 and 39.9 ± 1.6 ka BP were reported for the Sakutiek Industry. These ages are in correct stratigraphic order and, when calibrated, range from ~45 cal ka BP (Endingi) to ~44–41 cal ka BP (Sakutiek) if the youngest age is omitted, as proposed by Ambrose (1998). Obsidian hydration ages of 32.5 ± 1.2 ka and 35.3 ± 2.2 ka were obtained for the Endingi and Sakutiek Industries, respectively, and an older age of 46.4 ± 2.8 ka for the intermediate Nasampolai Industry. Ambrose (1998) argued that the \(^{14}C\) age for the Endingi Industry is likely a minimum age, and instead used the obsidian hydration age for the Nasampolai Industry and the uncalibrated \(^{14}C\) ages for the overlying Sakutiek Industry to estimate sedimentation rates at the site and derive an age of 35–45 ka for the start of the Nasampolai Industry. But the obsidian hydration ages are not in stratigraphic order and those for the Endingi and Sakutiek Industries are significantly younger than their calibrated \(^{14}C\) counterparts. Given these internal inconsistencies and the questionable reliability of the obsidian hydration dating technique as then used (Ridings, 1996; Anovitz et al., 1999), little confidence can be placed in the obsidian hydration chronology for Enkapune Ya Muto or the extrapolated starting age for the Nasampolai Industry calculated by Ambrose (1998). Consequently, it is not clear how the Enkapune Ya Muto and Mumba records are related chronologically. This example highlights the difficulties that remain in comparing the chronologies of Late Pleistocene archaeological deposits in East Africa, which are exacerbated by the uncertainties associated with the cultural attributions of, and correlations between, different assemblages.

Several researchers have also argued for a deep-seated dynamic between climate change and its effect on Pleistocene environments and human populations (e.g., Cohen et al., 2007; Scholz et al., 2007, 2011), and the possibility that the occurrence of microlithics may reflect a technological response to rapid changes in environmental conditions (e.g., Mellars, 2006; Henshilwood, 2008; Bar-Matthews et al., 2010; Mackay, 2011). In particular, proxy records of climate change in East Africa reconstructed from sediment cores collected from Lakes Malawi and Tanganyika have influenced recent ideas on human—environment interactions at a time when, and from a region where, anatomically modern humans are thought to have first exited Africa to populate the rest of the Old World (e.g., Scholz et al., 2007).

Palaeoclimatic reconstructions for East Africa are complicated, however, by the interaction of several ocean—atmosphere systems and the response of terrestrial ecosystems (e.g., Cohen et al., 2007; Scholz et al., 2007, 2011; Tierney et al., 2008, 2010, 2011). To illustrate this complexity, Fig. 7a shows the changes through time of the deuterium/hydrogen ratio of higher plant leaf waxes (\(\delta D_{\text{leaf wax}}\)), a proxy for precipitation, in sediment cores from Lakes Challa (Tierney et al., 2011) and Tanganyika (Tierney et al., 2008), while Fig. 7d shows the reconstructed lake-level elevations for Lakes Malawi (Scholz et al., 2007) and Naivasha (Richardson and Dussinger, 1986; Trauth et al., 2003; Bergner and Trauth, 2004; Bergner et al., 2008). All four lakes are situated within the East African Rift Valley (see Fig. 7b: Challa, 2; Tanganyika, 7; Malawi, 8; Naivasha, 3), but at locations that can shed light on potential longitudinal differences in hydrological response to climatic forcing. For example, Lake Malawi is located at the southern terminus of the western Rift system, whereas Lake Naivasha is located in the central part of the eastern Rift system.

It is evident that the \(\delta D_{\text{leaf wax}}\) precipitation indices for Lakes Challa and Tanganyika are not always in phase (Fig. 7a). For example, between 25 and 19 ka (the Last Glacial Maximum, LGM), the Lake Challa values are more negative (i.e., wetter) than those at Lake Tanganyika, which represent the driest conditions of the last ~60 ka. Likewise, the lake-level records for Lakes Malawi and Naivasha (Fig. 7d) are diametrically opposed for most of the last ~140 ka. Between 75 and 15 ka (i.e., the period for which we have OSL ages at Mumba rockshelter, plotted in Fig. 7c), Lake Malawi appeared to sustain high lake levels, comparable with modern levels, whereas the levels in Lake Naivasha remained very low, except for three short periods of intermediate lake-level at ~65 ka, 27–25 ka and 19–16 ka. To further complicate the picture, Scholz et al. (2007) reported that Lakes Malawi and Tanganyika both exhibited major rises in lake level after ~70 ka, but the \(\delta D_{\text{leaf wax}}\) precipitation index for Lake Tanganyika shows a general drying trend between 60 and 19 ka, with major lake-level fluctuations at various timescales (Tierney et al., 2008). Further, in contrast to the suggestion of Scholz et al. (2007) that Lake Malawi had high water levels during the LGM, Castañeda et al. (2007, 2009) found indications of drier conditions at this time, based on changes in molecular biomarkers and carbon isotopes in sediment cores collected from Lake Malawi.

How can we explain the differences in response of these lakes to the prevailing climatic controls, and the contrasting interpretations made for the same lake using different environmental proxies? The study of Tierney et al. (2011) sheds light on some possible reasons for the complex response of different lakes. They compared the responses of Lakes Challa and Tanganyika over the last 25 ka (Fig. 7a) to investigate the role of the winter Indian monsoon. As the latter intensifies, the trade winds increase in strength and bring more moisture on to the continent, resulting in higher precipitation. Precipitation variability at Lake Challa is influenced primarily by Indian Ocean climate dynamics, whereas Lake Tanganyika is affected not only by the latter but also by the seasonal migration of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ, shown as solid red and green lines in Fig. 7b), and by moisture flux from the Congo basin (Tierney et al., 2010). Both lakes are located in the southern hemisphere and at approximately the same latitude, thus simplifying comparisons as much as possible. Tierney et al. (2011) attribute the differences in response of Lakes Challa and Tanganyika to the position of the Congo Air Boundary (CAB), shown as dashed red and green lines in Fig. 7b for the austral summer and winter months. The CAB is a convergence zone marking the confluence of air from the Indian Ocean with unstable air from the Congo basin. At the present day, the CAB lies well west of Lake Challa throughout the year, whereas Lakes Malawi and Tanganyika are located close to (or directly beneath, in the latter instance) the intersection of the ITCZ and CAB during the austral summer. The past hydrology of East African lakes, therefore, is likely to have been characterised by zonal gradients associated with several large-scale ocean—atmosphere systems, with the relative influx of moisture from the Indian Ocean and the Congo basin giving rise to longitudinal differences in precipitation.

Mumba rockshelter is situated southeast of Lake Eyasi (site 1 in Fig. 7b), slightly closer to Lake Challa than Lake Tanganyika and at a similar latitude. The dominant controls on Pleistocene environments are apt to have been complex, therefore, because of the location of Mumba at the intersection of several major climate systems. Given the current lack of reliable climatic reconstructions in the vicinity of Mumba, and the absence of a consensus lake-level record between 75 and 15 ka for any lake in East Africa, we consider it premature to correlate putative environmental changes with patterns of human occupation at Mumba. The same caveat applies to any archaeological record for which there is no directly
associated palaeoclimatic record. Future work at Lake Eyasi or neighbouring Lake Manyara (where the Holocene sediments have been investigated; Tierney et al., 2011) may yield a coherent climatic signal that we can compare with the Mumba sequence. At this stage, however, we cannot relate the various archaeological assemblages at Mumba to particular environmental conditions, nor can we link the first appearance of microlithic technology at ~60 ka, or OES beads at ~49 ka, to specific climatic events.

However, we hope that this study may provide the impetus for archaeologists, geochronologists and climate scientists to obtain archaeological and palaeoenvironmental records of much higher spatial and temporal resolution across East Africa, so that detailed regional comparisons can be made between artefact assemblages and past environments.

Conclusions

Our understanding of the MSA and early LSA in East Africa has been hindered by a lack of reliable chronologies for archaeological sequences. Here we have presented OSL ages for quartz and feldspar grains deposited at Mumba rockshelter, which provide insights into the timing of the MSA and LSA in northern Tanzania. The OSL ages are internally consistent and stratigraphically coherent, providing confidence in their reliability. The transition from pre-microlithic MSA to the microlithic Mumba Industry is constrained to ~60 ka. Single grain OSL analyses demonstrate the stratigraphic integrity of upper Bed V and lower Bed III, so the OES beads and abundant microlithics in the former are likely not intrusive and date to 49.1 ± 4.3 ka, which marks the final phase of the Mumba Industry. This would represent the earliest known occurrence of the LSA in Africa, if Diez-Martín et al. (2009) are correct in their interpretation of the Bed V Industry. Symbolic ornaments in lower Bed III proliferated 36.8 ± 3.4 ka ago in association with the Nasera Industry. At present, however, we cannot reliably compare these artefact assemblages with those excavated at other sites in the region, because of the scarcity of robust chronologies. To investigate the timing and possible drivers of technological and behavioural changes across East Africa, and place them in environmental context, requires accurate ages for additional archaeological sites and Late Pleistocene records of environmental change. Future studies, therefore, could usefully focus on the redating of other archaeological deposits in East Africa, using the same or similar OSL techniques as employed here, to help construct a common chronology for the region. Likewise, geographic and temporal coverage of the contemporaneous climatic and ecological conditions needs to be improved greatly before robust inferences about human–environment interactions can be made.
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